arXiv:1407.1695v1 [math.RT] 7 Jul 2014

RESTRICTED LIE (SUPER)ALGEBRAS, CLASSIFICATION OF SIMPLE LIE SUPERALGEBRAS IN CHARACTERISTIC 2

SOFIANE BOUARROUDJ[1], ALEXEI LEBEDEV[2], DIMITRY LEITES[3], IRINA SHCHEPOCHKINA[4]

Abstract. In characteristic 2, for Lie algebras, a (2,4)-structure is introduced in addition to the known, "classical", restrictedness. For Lie superalgebras, the classical restrictedness of Lie algebras has two analogs: (2|4)- and (2|2)-structures; a $(2,4)|4$-structure on Lie superalgebras is the analog of a (2,4)-structure on the Lie algebras.

In characteristic 2, two procedures that to every simple Lie algebra assign simple Lie superalgebras, most of them new, are offered. We proved that every simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra is obtained as the result of one of these procedures, so we described all simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras modulo non-existing at the moment classification of simple finite-dimensional Lie algebras. We give references to papers containing a conjectural method to obtain the latter classification and (currently incomplete) collections of examples of simple Lie algebras.

In characteristic 3, we prove that the known exceptional simple finite-dimensional vectorial Lie (super)algebras are restricted.

1. Introduction

The Lie (super)algebras we consider here are finite-dimensional (except for §7) over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic $p > 0$ (unless otherwise mentioned). Observe that neither finite-dimensionality of algebras nor algebraic closedness of the ground field is needed in most of the constructions below.

1.1. **Setting of the problem; results.** In 2005, P. Deligne wrote several comments to a paper by the two of us, see his Appendix in [LL]. In particular, we interpret a part of his advice as (in our words) "Over $\mathbb{K}$, to classify ALL simple Lie (super)algebras and their representations are, perhaps, not very reasonable problems, and definitely very tough; investigate first the **restricted** case: it is related to geometry, meaningful and of interest".

But what is restrictedness if $p = 2$?

In a chapter in [LeD], of which this paper is an expounded version with several new results, A. Lebedev briefly described the following three phenomena existing for $p = 2$ only:

1) On Lie algebras, a $(2, 4)$-structure indigenous to characteristic 2, in addition to the known, "classical", restrictedness.

2) On Lie superalgebras, the two analogs of the classical restrictedness, namely, the direct one, (2|4)-structure, and (2|2)-structure; related with a $(2, 4)$-structure is a $(2, 4)|4$-structure. Hereafter by "restricted" Lie (super)algebra we mean a classically restricted one (resp. the one with (2|4)-structure).

3) The two methods, see subsec. 3.2.1 and 3.3, producing simple Lie superalgebras from every simple Lie algebra. The results of these procedures are restricted if such is the input.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B50; Secondary 17B20.

Key words and phrases. Restricted Lie algebra, characteristic 2, queerification, Lie superalgebra.

S.B. was partly supported by the grant AD 065 NYUAD. We are thankful to M. Kuznetsov and P. Zusmanovich for useful references.

Summary of our results: For various restrictednesses, with more details and examples than in [BGL2], see §2. For Weisfeiler-graded (simple) vectorial Lie (super)algebras needed to visualize examples mentioned in §2, §6 – §8, see [GL3, BGLLS, BGLLS1, BGLLS2].

The two methods (queerification and "method 2") producing numerous new simple Lie superalgebras from every simple Lie algebra if $p = 2$ are described in §3.

In §4, we prove the following Theorem: **if $p = 2$, every simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra is obtained from a simple Lie algebra by means of either queerification or "method 2"**. So we have obtained all simple Lie superalgebras modulo classification of simple Lie algebras. Conjecturally the latter list is the union of examples from [BGL2, LeP, BGLLS, BGLLS1, BGLLS2] and those that might arise by methods of [LSh] from non-trivial central extensions and algebras of derivations listed in [BGLL1], and subsequent deformation of these examples.

In §5 and §6, examples of queerifications are given in details further clarifying the mechanism which does not exist for $p \neq 2$.

In §7, numerous new simple Lie superalgebras obtained by means of "method 2" are described more explicitly.

In §8, restrictedness of recently found exceptional simple vectorial Lie algebras and superalgebras for $p = 3$ is established.

1.2. **Objects of our study.** For $p > 3$, the classification of simple finite dimensional Lie algebras over $\mathbb{K}$ is obtained, see [BGP, S]. After his teacher (A.I.Kostrikin) died, A. Dzhumadildaev wrote the paper [KD], where he suggested to sharpen the Kostrikin-Shafarevich construction (earlier believed to work only for $p > 5$) by reducing the stock of "standard" examples of Lie algebras; the other simple ones being their deforms (results of deformations). Interestingly, the stock of "standard" examples should contain not only simple ones, but their non-trivial central extensions: the Melikyan algebras were described in [KD] (see also [MeZu]) as deforms of certain Poisson Lie algebras; thus improved KSh-construction embraces $p = 5$.

For $p = 3$, in addition to the simple Lie algebras obtained by the KSh-construction, and deforms thereof, there were known other examples: Frank algebra, Ermolaev algebra, and several rather mysterious Skryabin algebras; all these algebras (each of which is, actually, a family depending on the shearing vector N) were interpreted (demystified) in [GL3], where the number of parameters on which the vector N depends was corrected. These examples from [GL3] conjecturally complete the stock of "standard" objects for $p = 3$; for classification of deforms of simple Lie algebras with symmetric root system and of small rank, see [BGL3].

In [KD], Dzhumadildaev also claimed that Ermolaev and (unspecified) Skryabin algebras are deforms of examples obtained by the KSh-construction. For Ermolaev algebras, this claim was announced almost a decade earlier in [KuJa]. The importance of investigating deforms, and isomorphism classes and central extensions thereof, came to the foreground.

Further study revealed a serious obstacle never described before. In [DK], one of the first papers on deformations of vectorial Lie algebras, it was observed that although $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) \neq 0$, and the cocycles representing the non-trivial classes of $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$ are integrable, the deformed algebras corresponding to some or all of these cocycles can be isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}$. We called such cocycles, and deformations corresponding to them, *semi-trivial*, see [BLW]; a wide class of them is characterized in [BGLLS].

For $p = 2$, this paper reduces the list of "standard" examples of simple Lie superalgebras to that of simple Lie algebras.

1.3. **Open problems.** 1) Describe à la [Prem] the algebraic groups corresponding to the Brown algebra $\mathfrak{br}(3)$, the Weisfeiler-Kac algebras $\mathfrak{wk}^{(1)}(3; a)/\mathfrak{c}$ and $\mathfrak{wk}(4; a)$, the orthogonal

Lie algebras $\mathfrak{o}_I^{(i)}(2n)/\mathfrak{c}$; simple vectorial Lie algebras (especially, for $p = 2$), see [BGLLS1, BGLLS2, Ei], and to deforms thereof, see [WK, BGL2, BLW, BGL3].

2) Describe the algebraic supergroups corresponding to superizations of the Lie algebras of item 1) and those introduced in this paper.

3) Describe the automorphism groups for the simple Lie algebras, not considered in [FG], and establish isomorphisms between the deforms with the help of these groups à la [KCh, Ch].

Describe the automorphism supergroups of the simple Lie superalgebras obtained by the two methods described in §3.

4) Explicitly list isomorphism classes of simple Lie superalgebras obtained by queerifications and "method 2".

5) Which of the Lie (super)algebras not covered by Propositions 2.4.1a, 2.4.3 and 2.6.1 (e.g., deforms listed in [Sk]) are restricted?

2. Several versions of restrictedness in characteristic 2

This section is an expounded and edited version of the respective sections from [LeD, BGL2].

2.1. **The *p*-structure.** Let the ground field $\mathbb{K}$ be of characteristic $p > 0$, and $\mathfrak{g}$ a Lie algebra. For every $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, the operator $\mathrm{ad}_x^p := (\mathrm{ad}_x)^p$ is a derivation of $\mathfrak{g}$. If this derivation is an inner one, i.e., there is a map (called *p-structure*) $[p] : x \mapsto x^{[p]}$ such that

$$[x^{[p]}, y] = \mathrm{ad}_x^p(y) \quad \text{for any } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \tag{1}$$

then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be *restricted* or *possessing a p-structure*.

2.1.1. Remarks. 1) If the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is without center, then the condition (1) implies the following two conditions:

$$(ax)^{[p]} = a^p x^{[p]} \quad \text{for any } a \in \mathbb{K},\ x \in \mathfrak{g}; \tag{2}$$

$$(x+y)^{[p]} = x^{[p]} + y^{[p]} + \sum_{1 \le i \le p-1} s_i(x,y) \quad \text{for any } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \tag{3}$$

where $is_i(x,y)$ is the coefficient of λ^{i-1} in $\mathrm{ad}_{\lambda x+y}^{p-1}(x)$.

There might be several p-structures on one Lie algebra; all of them agree modulo center. Hence, on any simple Lie algebra, there is not more than one p-structure.

2) The following condition is sufficient for a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ to possess a p-structure: for a basis $\{g_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, there exist elements $g_i^{[p]}$ such that

$$[g_i^{[p]}, y] = \mathrm{ad}_{g_i}^p(y) \quad \text{for any } y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

2.1.2. **Restricted modules.** A $\mathfrak{g}$-module M over a restricted Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and the representation ρ defining M, are said to be *restricted* or possessing a *p-structure* if

$$\rho(x^{[p]}) = (\rho(x))^p \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{4}$$

2.2. **The *p*|2*p*-structure or restricted Lie superalgebra.** For a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of characteristic $p > 0$, let the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ be restricted and

$$[x^{[p]}, y] = \mathrm{ad}_x^p(y) \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}},\ y \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{5}$$

This gives rise to the map (recall that the bracket of odd elements is the polarization of a squaring)

$$[2p] : \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \to \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} :\ x \mapsto (x^2)^{[p]}, \tag{6}$$

satisfying the condition

$$[x^{[2p]}, y] = \mathrm{ad}_x^{2p}(y) \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}},\ y \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{7}$$

The pair of maps $[p]$ and $[2p]$ is called a *p-structure* (or, sometimes, a *$p|2p$-structure*) on $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be *restricted*.

2.2.1. Remark. If (5) is not satisfied, the p-structure on $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ does not have to generate a $p|2p$-structure on $\mathfrak{g}$: even if the actions of $(\mathrm{ad}_x)^p$ and $\mathrm{ad}_{x^{[p]}}$ coincide on $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$, they do not have to coincide on the whole of $\mathfrak{g}$. For example, consider $p = 2$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{o}^{(1)}_{III}(1|2)$ (for the definition, see [LeP, BGL2]) with basis $\{X_-^2, X_-, H, X_+, X_+^2\}$, where X_-^2, H, and X_+^2 are even elements while X_- and X_+ are odd ones, with the relations

$$[H, X_\pm] = X_\pm; \quad [X_+, X_-] = [X_+^2, X_-^2] = H.$$

We can define a 2-structure on $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(2)$, which is nilpotent for $p = 2$, by setting

$$(X_-^2)^{[2]} = H; \quad H^{[2]} = H; \quad (X_+^2)^{[2]} = 0,$$

and extending it to the whole $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ by properties (2) and (3). This 2-structure on $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ can not be extended to a $2|4$-structure on $\mathfrak{g}$, since, for example,

$$[X_-^2, [X_-^2, X_-]] = 0 \neq [(X_-^2)^{[2]}, X_-] = X_-.$$

2.2.2. **Restricted modules.** A $\mathfrak{g}$-module M corresponding to a representation ρ of the restricted Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be *restricted* or possessing a *$p|2p$-structure* if

$$\begin{array}{ll} \rho(x^{[p]}) = (\rho(x))^p & \text{for any } x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \\ \rho(x^{[2p]}) = (\rho(x))^{2p} & \text{for any } x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}. \end{array} \tag{8}$$

2.3. **The 2|2-structure on Lie superalgebras.** Let $p = 2$, a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ possess a $2|4$-structure, and $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the Lie algebra one gets from $\mathfrak{g}$ by forgetting the squaring and considering only brackets by setting $[x, x] = 2x^2 = 0$ for x odd. Then $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{g})$ possesses a 2-structure given by

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{the ``2'' part of } 2|4\text{-structure on } \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}; \\ \text{the squaring on } \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \text{ i.e., } x^{[2]} := x^2; \\ \text{the rule } (x+y)^{[2]} := \begin{cases} x^{[2]} + y^{[2]} + [x, y] & \text{if } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \\ x^2 + y^{[2]} + [x, y] & \text{if } x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, \\ x^2 + y^2 + [x, y] & \text{if } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}. \end{cases} \end{array} \tag{9}$$

So one can say that if $p = 2$, then the restricted Lie superalgebra (i.e., the one with a $2|4$-structure) also possesses a $2|2$-*structure* which is defined even on inhomogeneous elements (unlike $p|2p$-structures). In future, for Lie superalgebras with $2|2$-structure, we write $x^{[2]}$ instead of x^2 for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ and inhomogeneous x.

2.3.1. **Restricted modules.** A $\mathfrak{g}$-module M corresponding to a representation ρ of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with $2|2$-structure is said to be *restricted* or possessing a $2|2$-*structure* if

$$\rho(x^{[2]}) = (\rho(x))^2 \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{10}$$

2.4. **Restrictedness of Lie (super)algebras with Cartan matrix, and of their relatives (the derived algebras, central extensions, and quotients modulo center).** Recall that for $p = 2$, the definition of the derived Lie superalgebra should be modified. For any Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$, set $\mathfrak{g}^{(0)} := \mathfrak{g}$ and

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(i+1)} := [\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(i)}] + \mathrm{Span}\{x^2 \mid x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^{(i)}\} \text{ for any } i \geq 0. \tag{11}$$

2.4.1. **Lie (super)algebras with Cartan matrix.** Speaking about Lie (super)algebras $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ with an $n \times n$ Cartan matrix $A = (A_{ij})$, recall (see [LeD, BGL2]) that any non-zero element $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *a root* if the homogeneous subspace $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ with grade α is non-zero. Let R be the set of all roots of $\mathfrak{g}$.

2.4.1a. Proposition. 1) *If $p > 2$ (or $p = 2$ and $A_{ii} \neq \bar{1}$ or 1 for any i) and $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ is a Lie (super)algebra, then $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ has a p-structure (resp. $p|2p$-structure) such that*

$$\begin{array}{l} (x_\alpha)^{[p]} = 0 \text{ for any even } \alpha \in R \text{ and } x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \\ (x_\alpha)^{[2p]} = 0 \text{ for any odd } \alpha \in R \text{ and } x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \\ \mathfrak{h}^{[p]} \subset \mathfrak{h}. \end{array} \tag{12}$$

2) *If $A_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}/p$ for all i, j, then the derived $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}(A)$ inherits the p-structure (resp. $p|2p$-structure) of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$, and we can make the 3rd line of (12) precise:*

$$h_i^{[p]} = h_i \text{ for any } i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{13}$$

3) *The quotient modulo center of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with a p-structure (resp. $p|2p$-structure) always inherits the p-structure (resp. $p|2p$-structure) of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. 1) The statement of line 1 of (12) is proved in [S], proof of the statement of line 2 is similar.

In our definition of roots, see [BGL2], instead of non-existing pairing (γ, h), where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a root and $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, we introduce a function

$$\mathrm{ev} : R \times \mathfrak{h} \to \mathbb{K}, \quad \mathrm{ev}(\gamma, h) = \text{the eigenvalue of } \mathrm{ad}_h \text{ on } \mathfrak{g}_\gamma.$$

Clearly, ev is linear in the second argument in the usual sense, and it is $\mathbb{Z}$-linear, i.e., additive, in the first argument in the sense that

$$\mathrm{ev}\left(\sum_{1\leq i\leq k} c_i\gamma_i, h\right) = \sum_{1\leq i\leq k} c_i \,\mathrm{ev}(\gamma_i, h) \text{ for any } c_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and any roots } \gamma_i \text{ such that } \sum c_i\gamma_i \in R,$$

here the c_i are considered as elements of $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{R}$ in the left-hand side and as elements of $\mathbb{K}$ in the right-hand side. The other way round, if a function $f : R \to \mathbb{K}$ is such that

$$f\left(\sum_{1\leq i\leq k} c_i\gamma_i\right) = \sum_{1\leq i\leq k} c_i f(\gamma_i) \text{ for any } c_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and any roots } \gamma_i \text{ such that } \sum c_i\gamma_i \in R, \tag{14}$$

then

$$\text{there is an element } h \in \mathfrak{h} \text{ such that } \mathrm{ev}(\gamma, h) = f(\gamma) \text{ for any root } \gamma. \tag{15}$$

To prove (15), it suffices to choose h so that the condition $\mathrm{ev}(\alpha_j, h) = f(\gamma_j)$ is satisfied for any simple positive roots α_j. Such an h exists because the roots α_j are linearly independent. Because any root can be represented as an integral linear combination of simple (positive) roots and due to (14), this condition is satisfied for any root. This demonstrates validity of the statement of line 3 of (12).

Note that the derived Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}(A)$ may fail to have property (15).

2) Note that for any integers $c_1, \dots, c_k$ and any roots $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ such that $\gamma = \sum_{1\leq i\leq k} c_i\gamma_i$ is a root, the eigenvalue of $(\mathrm{ad}_h)^p$ on $\mathfrak{g}_\gamma$ is equal to

$$\mathrm{ev}\left(\sum_{1\leq i\leq k} c_i\gamma_i, h\right)^p = \left(\sum_{1\leq i\leq k} c_i \,\mathrm{ev}(\gamma_i, h)\right)^p = \sum_{1\leq i\leq k} (c_i \,\mathrm{ev}(\gamma_i, h))^p = \sum_{1\leq i\leq k} c_i (\mathrm{ev}(\gamma_i, h))^p,$$

since $c^p = c$ for any $c \in \mathbb{Z}/p$, and $\binom{p}{m} \equiv 0 \mod p$ for $m \not\equiv 0 \mod p$. In other words, the function ev on $\mathfrak{h}$ whose value at $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ is the eigenvalue of $(\mathrm{ad}_h)^p$ on $\mathfrak{g}_\gamma$, satisfies the condition (14). Thus, there really exists an element $h^{[p]} \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\mathrm{ad}_{h^{[p]}} = (\mathrm{ad}_h)^p$.

If $A_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}/p$, we consider A_{ij} as integers and apply Fermat's little theorem. Otherwise $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}(A)$ may have no p-structure (resp. $p|2p$-structure) even if $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ has one.

3) Factorization modulo center fixes one 2-structure (resp. 2|4-structure) inherited from the non-factorized algebra which may possess several such structures. □

2.4.2. Examples. 1) Observe that the center $\mathfrak{c}$ of $\mathfrak{wk}(3;a)$, where $a \neq 0, 1$, is spanned by $ah_1 + h_3$. The 2-structure on $\mathfrak{wk}(3;a)$ is given by the conditions $(x_\alpha)^{[2]} = 0$ for any root vectors x_α, and the following conditions:

For the matrix $B = (1, 0, 0)$ supplementing the Cartan matrix A (for the definition of both matrices A and B, see [BGL2], where in a copy of eq. (16) and similar ones there are typos: $\mathrm{ad}_{h_i}^{[2]}$ and $\mathrm{ad}_d^{[2]}$ should be $h_i^{[2]}$ and $d^{[2]}$, respectively) and the grading operator d, set:

$$\begin{array}{ll} h_1^{[2]} = (1+at)h_1 + th_3 & \equiv h_1 \pmod{\mathfrak{c}}, \\ h_2^{[2]} = ath_1 + ah_2 + th_3 + (1+a)d & \equiv ah_2 + (1+a)d \pmod{\mathfrak{c}}, \\ h_3^{[2]} = (at+a^2)h_1 + th_3 & \equiv a^2h_1 \pmod{\mathfrak{c}}, \\ d^{[2]} = ath_1 + th_3 + d & \equiv d \pmod{\mathfrak{c}}. \end{array} \tag{16}$$

Observe that the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{wk}^{(1)}(3;a)/\mathfrak{c}$ has no 2-structure.

2) The 2-structure on $\mathfrak{wk}(4;a)$, where $a \neq 0, 1$, is given by the conditions $(x_\alpha)^{[2]} = 0$ for any root vectors x_α, and

$$\begin{array}{ll} h_1^{[2]} = ah_1 + (1+a)h_4, & h_3^{[2]} = h_3, \\ h_2^{[2]} = ah_2, & h_4^{[2]} = h_4. \end{array} \tag{17}$$

3) For examples for $p = 3$, see subsec. 8.1.

2.4.3. Proposition. *The superizations of simple Lie algebras of the form $\mathfrak{g}(A)$, or $\mathfrak{g}(A)/\mathfrak{c}$ listed in* [BGL2]*, have* 2|4*-structures.*

Proof. The 2|4-structure is given by means of the first two lines of (12) and — instead of the third line of (12) — expressions (16), (17), or (13) if $A_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}/p$ for all i, j. □

2.5. **$(2,4)$- and $(2,-)$-structures on Lie algebras.** Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-$ be a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-grading of a Lie algebra (not superalgebra) $\mathfrak{g}$. We say that $\mathfrak{g}$ has a $(2,-)$-*structure*, if there is a map $[2] : \mathfrak{g}_+ \to \mathfrak{g}_+$ such that (we consider the case of centerless $\mathfrak{g}$ for simplicity)

$$[x^{[2]}, y] = [x, [x, y]] \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathfrak{g}_+, \quad y \in \mathfrak{g},$$

but there is no 2-structure on $\mathfrak{g}$. In all cases known to us the $(2,-)$-structure can be extended to a $(2,4)$-*structure*[1], i.e., there is also a map $[4] : \mathfrak{g}_- \to \mathfrak{g}_+$ such that

$$[x^{[4]}, y] = [x, [x, [x, [x, y]]]] \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathfrak{g}_-, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

2.5.1. **Examples.** 1) If indecomposable symmetrizable $n \times n$-matrix A is such that

$$A_{nn} = \bar{1}; \quad A_{ii} = \bar{0} \text{ for } i < n,$$

then $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ has no 2-structure but has a $(2,4)$-structure with the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-grading given by:

$$\deg(\mathfrak{h}) = \bar{0}; \quad \deg(x_n^\pm) = \bar{1}; \quad \deg(x_i^\pm) = \bar{0} \text{ for } i < n.$$

[1]Observe a slightly different notation: $(2,4)$, not 2|4.

In particular, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}^{(1)}(2n+1)$ with Cartan matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \ddots & \bar{0} & 1 & 0 \\ \ddots & 1 & \bar{0} & 1 \\ \cdots & 0 & 1 & \bar{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

can be viewed as the algebra of matrices of the form (recall that $ZD(n)$ is the space of symmetric matrices with zeros on the main diagonal)

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & X & B \\ Y^T & 0 & X^T \\ C & Y & A^T \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \begin{array}{c} A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n);\ B, C \in ZD(n); \\ X, Y \text{ are column-vectors.} \end{array}$$

Then $\mathfrak{g}_+$ consists of matrices with $X = Y = 0$ and the map [2] is the squaring of matrices, while $\mathfrak{g}_-$ consists of matrices with $A = B = C = 0$, and the map [4] is rising matrices to the fourth power.

2) Let $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ and x be indeterminates of the generating functions of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_I(2n+1; \underline{N}_s)$, where

$$\underline{N}_s = (1, \dots, 1). \tag{18}$$

On it, there is a (2,4)-structure, see (21), with respect to the grading

$$\deg p_i = \deg q_i = \bar{0} \text{ for all } i,\ \deg x = \bar{1}.$$

2.5.2. **$(2,4)|4$-structure on Lie superalgebras.** Similarly to the above, the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{oo}^{(1)}_{I\Pi}(2k_{\bar{0}}+1|2k_{\bar{1}})$ has a $(2,4)|4$-*structure* consisting of the rising to the fourth power defined on the odd part while on the even part a $(2,4)$-structure is defined satisfying, for any $y \in \mathfrak{oo}^{(1)}_{I\Pi}(2k_{\bar{0}}+1|2k_{\bar{1}})$, the conditions

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{ad}_{x^{[2]}}(y) = \mathrm{ad}_x^2(y) \text{ for any } x \in (\mathfrak{oo}^{(1)}_{I\Pi}(2k_{\bar{0}}+1|2k_{\bar{1}})_+)_{\bar{0}} \\ \mathrm{ad}_{x^{[4]}}(y) = \mathrm{ad}_x^4(y) \text{ for any } x \in \mathfrak{oo}^{(1)}_{I\Pi}(2k_{\bar{0}}+1|2k_{\bar{1}})_- \text{ and } x \in (\mathfrak{oo}^{(1)}_{I\Pi}(2k_{\bar{0}}+1|2k_{\bar{1}})_+)_{\bar{1}}. \end{array} \tag{19}$$

2.5.3. **$(2,4)|4$-Restricted modules.** A $\mathfrak{g}$-module M corresponding to a representation ρ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with $(2,4)$-structure (resp. the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with $(2,4)|4$-structure) is said to be $(2,4)$-*restricted* (resp. $(2,4)|4$-restricted) or possessing a $(2,4)$-*structure* (resp. $(2,4)|4$-structure) if

$$\begin{array}{ll} \rho(x^{[2]}) = (\rho(x))^2 & \text{for any } x \in (\mathfrak{g}_+)_{\bar{0}}, \\ \rho(x^{[4]}) = (\rho(x))^4 & \text{for any } x \in \mathfrak{g}_- \text{ and } x \in (\mathfrak{g}_+)_{\bar{1}}. \end{array} \tag{20}$$

2.6. **Restricted vectorial Lie (super)algebras.** In Proposition below, by "vectorial Lie (super)algebra" we only mean the one with a Weisfeiler filtration. For the list of vectorial Lie (super)algebras and their simple subquotients, see [GL3, Ei, BGLLS2]. In the literature we read, the restrictedness is established for the simple Lie algebras of the two types: the Lie algebras with Cartan matrix and $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vectorial Lie algebras (and simple derived of non-simple algebras of these two types further factorized modulo center, if any) but not for simple filtered deforms of vectorial Lie algebras. Let $\mathfrak{g}(\mathrm{sdim}; \underline{N})$ or $\mathfrak{g}(a; \underline{N}|b)$ denote the vectorial Lie (super)algebra with a "family name" $\mathfrak{g}$ realized on $\mathrm{sdim} = (a|b)$ indeterminates, of which a are even and b are odd, and with shearing vector $\underline{N}$; if $b = 0$ the notation shrinks to $\mathfrak{g}(\dim; \underline{N})$.

2.6.1. Proposition. 1) *For $p > 0$, if $\underline{N} \neq \underline{N}_s$, see* (18)*, then the vectorial Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathrm{sdim}; \underline{N})$ is not restricted.*

2) *Let vectorial Lie (super)algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathrm{sdim}; \underline{N}_s)$ be the result of generalized (perhaps, partial) Cartan prolongation, see* [Shch]*. Then $\mathfrak{g}(\mathrm{sdim}; \underline{N}_s)$ is restricted. For the known simple Lie (super)algebras the structure of a restricted algebra is given by the expressions*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{h}^{[p]} \subset \mathfrak{h}, \text{ and if the structure constants lie in } \mathbb{Z}/p, \text{ then } h_i^{[p]} = h_i \text{ for the basis} \\ &\text{elements } h_i \text{ of the maximal torus } \mathfrak{h}; \\ &x^{[p]} = 0 \text{ (resp. } x^{[2p]} = 0\text{) for the other even (resp. odd) weight elements } x \text{ of} \\ &\text{the basis of } \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

3) *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be restricted,* (21) *hold, the i-th derived $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$ of the Lie (super)algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ contain all the elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ that act diagonally in the adjoint representation. Then $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$ is restricted.*

4) *Let $\bar{x}_i$ be the highest possible (divided) power of x_i, and $\bar{x} = \prod \bar{x}_i$. Let*

$$(1 - \bar{x})\mathfrak{g}(\mathrm{sdim}; \underline{N}) := \{(1 - \bar{x})y \mid \text{for any } y \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathrm{sdim}; \underline{N})\}$$

be a deform (perhaps, trivial) of the vectorial Lie (super)algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathrm{sdim}; \underline{N})$.

If $\mathfrak{g}(\mathrm{sdim}; \underline{N}_s)$ is restricted, then $(1 - \bar{x})\mathfrak{g}(\mathrm{sdim}; \underline{N}_s)$ is restricted.

Proof. Items 1) and 2): for Lie algebra case, see [S]; the super case is analogous; for examples, see subsec. 8.2. Item 3) is obvious, item 4) follows from (21). □

3. The two methods of superization for $p = 2$

3.1. **Queerification for $p \neq 2$.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Lie-admissible algebra (i.e., $\mathcal{A}_L$, the algebra with the same space as $\mathcal{A}$ and the bracket instead of the dot product, is a Lie algebra, e.g., an associative algebra over any field). The space of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{q}(\mathcal{A})$, which we call the *queerification* of $\mathcal{A}$, is $\mathcal{A}_L \oplus \Pi(\mathcal{A})$, where Π is the change of parity functor, with the multiplication given by the following expressions

$$[x, y] = xy - yx; \quad [x, \Pi(y)] = \Pi(xy - yx); \quad [\Pi(x), \Pi(y)] = xy + yx \text{ for any } x, y \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{22}$$

The term "queer" is taken after the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{q}(n) := \mathfrak{q}(\mathrm{Mat}(n))$, where $\mathrm{Mat}(n)$ is the associative algebra of $n \times n$-matrices. We express the elements of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q}(n)$ by means of a pair of matrices

$$(A, B) \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{q}(n), \text{ where } A, B \in \mathfrak{gl}(n). \tag{23}$$

The brackets between these basis elements are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &[(A_1, 0), (A_2, 0)] = ([A_1, A_2], 0), \quad [(A, 0), (0, B)] = (0, [A, B]), \\ &[(0, B_1), (0, B_2)] = (B_1B_2 + B_2B_1, 0). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

3.1.1. **The only simple Lie superalgebras related with queerification for $p \neq 2$ are $\mathfrak{psq}(n)$ for $n > 2$.** Let $\mathfrak{sq}(n)$ denote the subsuperalgebra of queertraceless matrices, where the *queer trace* $\mathrm{qtr} : (A, B) \mapsto \mathrm{tr}\, B$ is well-defined on the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{q}(n)$. The Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{sq}(n)$ are specifically "super" analogs of the general Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ and its special (traceless) subalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$, whereas $\mathfrak{pq}(n) := \mathfrak{q}(n)/\mathbb{K}1_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{psq}(n) := \mathfrak{sq}(n)/\mathbb{K}1_{2n}$ are analogs of projectivizations $\mathfrak{pgl}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{psl}(n)$ (if $p > 0$).

3.2. **Queerification for $p = 2$.** In this case, the multiplication is defined by the expressions (24), the bracket of odd elements being polarization of squaring of the odd elements:

$$(0, B)^2 = (B^2, 0). \tag{25}$$

If $p = 2$, then, in addition to the Lie-admissible algebras $\mathcal{A}$, we can queerify any restricted Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$: set $\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{g})_{\bar{1}} = \Pi(\mathfrak{g})$; define the multiplication involving the odd elements as follows:

$$[x, \Pi(y)] = \Pi([x, y]); \quad (\Pi(x))^2 = x^{[2]} \quad \text{for any } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{26}$$

Clearly, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is restricted and $I \subset \mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{g})$ is an ideal, then $I_{\bar{0}}$ and $\Pi(I_{\bar{1}})$ are ideals in $\mathfrak{g}$. So, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is restricted and simple, then $\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a simple Lie superalgebra. (Note that $\mathfrak{g}$ has to be simple as a Lie algebra, not just as a *restricted* Lie algebra, i.e., it may not have any ideals, not only restricted ones.) A generalization of the queerification is the following procedure producing as many simple Lie superalgebras as there are simple Lie algebras.

3.2.1. **Method 1): partial queerification.** For the *partial queerification* of any simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ we need what we call a 1-*step restricted closure*, $\mathfrak{g}^{<1>}$, defined as the minimal subalgebra of the (classically) restricted closure $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ containing $\mathfrak{g}$ and all the elements $x^{[2]}$, where $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. To any simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ the *partial queerification* assigns the Lie superalgebra

$$\tilde{q}(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathfrak{g}^{<1>} \oplus \Pi(\mathfrak{g}) \tag{27}$$

with squaring given by $x^2 := x^{[2]}$ for any $x \in \Pi(\mathfrak{g})$. Obviously, $\tilde{q}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{g})$ for $\mathfrak{g}$ restricted.

3.2.2. Theorem. *The Lie superalgebra $\tilde{q}(\mathfrak{g})$ is simple.*

Proof. Assume that $I = I_{\bar{0}} \oplus \Pi(I_{\bar{1}})$, where $I_{\bar{0}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{<1>}$ and $I_{\bar{1}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, is an ideal of $\tilde{q}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $I_{\bar{1}}$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$ since $[\mathfrak{g}, \Pi(I_{\bar{1}})] \subset \Pi(I_{\bar{1}})$, so either $I_{\bar{1}} = 0$, or $I_{\bar{1}} = \mathfrak{g}$. If $I_{\bar{1}} = \mathfrak{g}$, then, by construction, $\Pi(I_{\bar{1}})$ generates the whole $\mathfrak{g}^{<1>}$, and $I = \tilde{q}(\mathfrak{g})$, so in what follows we assume that $I_{\bar{1}} = 0$.

Similarly, $I_{\bar{0}}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}^{<1>}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is an ideal in $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, it follows that $I_{\bar{0}} \cap \mathfrak{g}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, so either $I_{\bar{0}} \cap \mathfrak{g} = 0$, or $\mathfrak{g} \subset I_{\bar{0}}$. If $\mathfrak{g} \subset I_{\bar{0}}$, then $I_{\bar{1}} \neq 0$ and this is a contradiction with our assumption.

If $I_{\bar{0}} \cap \mathfrak{g} = 0$, then $I_{\bar{0}}$ commutes with $\mathfrak{g}$. It follows from the minimality of the restricted closure that the centralizer of $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the center of $\mathfrak{g}$, so in this case $I_{\bar{0}} = 0$, and $I = 0$. □

For a detailed description of several examples of partial queerifications, see §5. Additionally, let us also mention $\tilde{q}(\mathfrak{wk}^{(1)}(3; a)/\mathfrak{c})$, and partial queerifications of simple vectorial Lie algebras (of serial type, such as $\mathfrak{vect}$, $\mathfrak{svect}$, and $\mathfrak{h}_I$, $\mathfrak{h}_\Pi$, $\mathfrak{k}$ with their divergence-free subalgebras, see [LeP, ILL], and their deforms, see [Sk, Kos]; and exceptional ones, see [BGLLS, BGLLS1, BGLLS2]).

3.3. **Method 2): superization of every $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.** Over any ground field, it is possible to endow the space of the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ with several Lie superalgebra structures, $\mathfrak{sl}(a|b)$, where $a + b = n$, by declaring several (any number of) pairs of Chevalley generators odd. The superalgebras obtained are also finite-dimensional and simple, except $\mathfrak{sl}(a|a)$ for $n = 2a$: its projectivization $\mathfrak{psl}(a|a)$ is simple.

Clearly, we can similarly declare any pair of Chevalley generators odd for any simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. But the Lie superalgebra obtained after factorization by the ideal of relations (somewhat different in shape as compared with those of $\mathfrak{g}$), will be though simple (perhaps, modulo center), of infinite dimension; moreover, it will have rather fast growth as a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra, cf. [CCLL]. If $p \neq 2$, the simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ over the ground field of characteristic p are the only ones that have "hidden supersymmetries", i.e., can be

"turned into Lie superalgebras" without changing their dimension and preserving simplicity (modulo center).

For $p = 2$, **every**[2] $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded simple Lie algebra has "hidden supersymmetries" (more precisely, unless $\mathfrak{g}$ is restricted, its space has to be enlarged prior to superization, as described below). These supersymmetries were first documented for restricted Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ in [BGL2] but the reason for these supersymmetries remained unclear until now. For (conjecturally all) examples of **non-linear** in roots $\mathbb{Z}/2$-gradings existing only for $p = 2$ (for Kaplansky algebras), and related new series of Lie superalgebras, see [BGLLS]. For explicit examples of linear $\mathbb{Z}/2$-gradings of simple vectorial Lie algebras, and their superizations, see [BGLLS1, BGLLS2]; for new examples, see §7.

If $p = 2$, let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-$ be a simple Lie algebra with a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-grading gr. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{gr})$ be the minimal subalgebra of the restricted closure $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ containing $\mathfrak{g}$ and all the elements $x^{[2]}$, where $x \in \mathfrak{g}_-$. Clearly, there is a single way to extend the grading gr to $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{gr})$. Let $S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{gr})$ be the Lie superalgebra structure on the space of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{gr})$ given by $x^2 := x^{[2]}$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_-$.

3.3.1. Theorem. *The Lie superalgebra $S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{gr})$ is simple.*

Proof. Let I be an ideal of $S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{gr})$. Let $\mathbf{F} : S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{gr}) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{gr})$ be the desuperization functor. Then $\mathbf{F}(I)$ is an ideal in $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{gr})$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is an ideal in $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, and therefore in $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{gr})$, we see that $\mathbf{F}(I) \cap \mathfrak{g}$ is an ideal in $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{gr})$, and therefore in $\mathfrak{g}$. This means that either $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbf{F}(I)$ or $\mathbf{F}(I) \cap \mathfrak{g} = 0$. By construction, $\mathbf{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ generates $S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{gr})$, so if $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbf{F}(I)$, then $I = S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{gr})$. If $\mathbf{F}(I) \cap \mathfrak{g} = 0$, then $\mathbf{F}(I)$ commutes with $\mathfrak{g}$; it follows from the minimality of the 2-closure that the centralizer of $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the center of $\mathfrak{g}$, so in this case $I = 0$. □

4. Classification of simple Lie algebras yields the list of simple Lie superalgebras if $p = 2$

Let $p = 2$, and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ a Lie superalgebra, $S = \mathrm{Span}\{x^2 \mid x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}\}$.

4.1. Lemma. *The space $([\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}] + S) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. The subspace $[\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}]$ is $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$-invariant due to Jacobi identity. Let $x^2 \in S, y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$. Then $[x^2, y] = [x, [x, y]] \in [\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}]$. But this means that $([\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}] + S) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. □

Let now $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie superalgebra. By Lemma 4.1, we see that $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = [\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}] + S$. Then

$$\mathfrak{h} := [\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}] \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \tag{28}$$

is an ideal of the Lie algebra $F(\mathfrak{g})$, whereas $\mathfrak{g}$ is obtained from $\mathfrak{h}$ by means of method 2. A question arises: must the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ be simple?

4.2. Lemma. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ defined by eq. (28) for a simple $\mathfrak{g}$ has no non-trivial $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded ideals.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{i} = \mathfrak{i}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{i}_{\bar{1}}$ be an ideal of $\mathfrak{h}$. In particular, this means that $[\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathfrak{i}] \subset \mathfrak{i}$, and hence $[S, \mathfrak{i}] \subset \mathfrak{i}$, implying that $\mathfrak{i}$ is an ideal of the whole Lie algebra $F(\mathfrak{g})$. Then

$$I = \mathfrak{i} + \mathrm{Span}\{x^2 \mid x \in \mathfrak{i}_{\bar{1}}\} \subset F(\mathfrak{g}),$$

is an ideal that can be superized by means of method 2.

Indeed, if $x \in \mathfrak{i}_{\bar{1}}$, $y \in F(\mathfrak{g})$, then $[x^2, y] = [x, [x, y]] \in \mathfrak{i}$. If now $S(I)$ is the superization of I, then $S(I)$ is an ideal of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and since $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple, it follows that either

[2]Provided there is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-grading on it. Since every simple Lie algebra has a non-zero toral subalgebra, it has a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-grading.

$S(I) = 0$, or $S(I) = \mathfrak{g}$. In the first case, $\mathfrak{i} = 0$, while in the second case $\mathfrak{i} \supset \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$, and hence, $\mathfrak{i} = \mathfrak{h}$. □

What non-graded ideals might the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ have?

Let $\mathfrak{i}$ be a non-trivial non-graded ideal in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$. Denote the projection operators onto $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$ by $\mathrm{pr}_{\bar{0}}$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{\bar{1}}$, respectively. Then $(\mathfrak{i} \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}) \oplus (\mathfrak{i} \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}})$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{\bar{0}}(\mathfrak{i}) \oplus \mathrm{pr}_{\bar{1}}(\mathfrak{i})$ are graded ideals of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$. By Lemma 4.2 we see that

$$(\mathfrak{i} \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}) = 0, \quad (\mathfrak{i} \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}) = 0, \quad \mathrm{pr}_{\bar{0}}(\mathfrak{i}) = \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}, \quad \mathrm{pr}_{\bar{1}}(\mathfrak{i}) = \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}.$$

This means that there exists a bijection $f : \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$ such that $\mathfrak{i} = \{x + f(x) \mid x \in \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}\}$. Now, since $\mathfrak{i}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{h}$, it immediately follows that

$$[y, f(x)] = f([y, x]), \quad [f(y), f(x)] = f^{-1}([f(y), x]) = [y, x] \text{ for any } x, y \in \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}. \tag{29}$$

Eq. (29) means that $\mathfrak{g} = \tilde{q}(\mathfrak{h})$.

Thus, Lemmas of this section yield the following

4.3. Theorem. *If $p = 2$, then every simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra can be obtained from a simple Lie algebra either by means of (partial) queerification or by "method 2".*

5. Examples of queerifications for $p = 2$

5.1. **Queerification of superalgebras.** If $\mathcal{B}$ is a super Lie-admissible **super**algebra (i.e., $\mathcal{B}_{SL}$, whose superspace is $\mathcal{B}$ but the product is super bracket instead of the dot product, is a Lie superalgebra), we can construct $\mathfrak{q}(\mathcal{B})$ using (22), where $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \Pi\mathcal{B}_{\bar{1}}$. If $p = 2$, then, in addition to the super Lie-admissible superalgebras $\mathcal{B}$, we can queerify any restricted Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{G}$ using eq. (26) for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{G}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{G}_{\bar{1}}$.

5.1.1. Lemma. 1) *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra with a 2-structure. Every Lie superalgebra of the form $\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{g})$ has a natural 2|4-structure given by the 2-structure on $\mathfrak{g}$.*

2) *If $\mathcal{B}$ is a super Lie-admissible superalgebra, then $\mathfrak{q}(\mathcal{B}) \simeq \mathfrak{q}(\boldsymbol{F}(\mathcal{B}))$. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie superalgebra with a 2|4-structure, then $\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathfrak{q}(\boldsymbol{F}(\mathfrak{g}))$.*

Proof. 1) Because $\mathfrak{g}$ is restricted, for every $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have

$$[x^{[2]}, \Pi(y)] = \Pi[x^{[2]}, y] = \Pi(\mathrm{ad}_x^2(y)) = \Pi([x, [x, y]]) = [x, [x, \Pi(y)]] = \mathrm{ad}_x^2(\Pi(y)).$$

Since condition (5) is met, the 2|4-structure can be defined as in subsec. 2.2.

2) The first statement follows from eq. (22); to prove the second one recall eq. (26) as well. □

5.2. **Queerifications of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{psl}(n)$.** If $p = 2$, on the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{sq}(n)$ of queertraceless supermatrices, there is also a (super)trace, let us call it the *even-trace*:

$$\mathrm{etr} \colon (A, B) \mapsto \mathrm{tr}\, A. \tag{30}$$

Note that the even-trace is not trace on $\mathfrak{q}(n)$: it does not vanish on the derived algebra, on $\mathfrak{q}^{(1)}(n)$. But it does vanish on $\mathfrak{sq}^{(1)}(n)$.

So we can construct Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{s}_e\mathfrak{sq}(n)$ consisting of elements of $\mathfrak{sq}(n)$ with zero even-trace. If $n = 2k + 1$, then $\mathfrak{s}_e\mathfrak{sq}(n) = \mathfrak{psq}(n) := \mathfrak{sq}(n)/\mathbb{K}1_{2n}$; if $n = 2k$, then $\mathfrak{s}_e\mathfrak{sq}(n)$ contains the ideal

$$\mathfrak{i}(n) := \{(a1_n, b1_n) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{K}\};$$

the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{ps}_e\mathfrak{psq}(2k) := \mathfrak{s}_e\mathfrak{sq}(n)/\mathfrak{i}(n)$ is simple for $k > 1$.

Recall that $\mathfrak{q}(n) = \mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{gl}(n))$; we also see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{s}_e\mathfrak{sq}(n) = \mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{sl}(n)) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{psq}(n) & \text{for } n = 2k+1, \\ \mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{psl}(2k)) \rtimes \mathfrak{i}(2k) & \text{for } n = 2k; \end{cases} \\ &\mathfrak{ps}_e\mathfrak{psq}(2k) = \mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{psl}(2k)). \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

5.3. **Queerification of orthogonal Lie algebras $\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_B(n))$.** The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{o}_B(n)$ have a natural 2-structure: considered as algebras of matrices (or linear operators) X such that $XB + BX^T = 0$, we see that

$$X^2B + B(X^2)^T = X(XB + BX^T) + (XB + BX^T)X^T = 0,$$

thus, if $X \in \mathfrak{o}_B(n)$, then $X^2 \in \mathfrak{o}_B(n)$. So we can consider queerifications $\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_B(n))$ of these algebras. We will find simple (modulo center) derived of these queerifications for n large enough; more precisely, $n \geq 3$ for $\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_I(n))$, and $n \geq 6$ for $\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_\Pi(n))$.

First, consider the "infinite derived"

$$\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_B(n))^{(\infty)} := \bigcap_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_B(n))^{(i)}.$$

Clearly, $\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_B(n))_{\bar{0}}^{(\infty)}$ and $\Pi(\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_B(n))_{\bar{1}}^{(\infty)})$ are subalgebras of $\mathfrak{o}_B(n)$.

Let us give a general description of non-trivial ideals of $\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_B(n))^{(\infty)}$. Clearly, for any ideal $I \subset \mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_B(n))^{(\infty)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &1)\ I_{\bar{0}} \text{ is an ideal of } \mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_B(n))_{\bar{0}}^{(\infty)}; \\ &2)\ \Pi(I_{\bar{1}}) \text{ is an ideal of } \Pi(\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_B(n))_{\bar{1}}^{(\infty)}). \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Let us specify our description:

5.3.1. **$\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_I(n))$.** Recall that $\mathfrak{o}_I(n)$ consisets of all symmetric matrices. We have

$$\mathfrak{o}_I(n)^{(\infty)} = \mathfrak{o}_I(n)^{(1)} = ZD(n).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_I(n))^{(1)} = \mathfrak{o}_I(n) \oplus \Pi(ZD(n)); \\ &\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_I(n))^{(i)} = (\mathfrak{o}_I(n) \cap \mathfrak{sl}(n)) \oplus \Pi(ZD(n)) \text{ for } i > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the Lie algebra structure defined on the space $ZD(n)$ is simple, so any non-trivial ideal of $\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_I(n))^{(\infty)}$ has zero odd part. The restricted Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}_I(n) \cap \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ has a non-trivial ideal if and only if n is even, and this ideal is $\mathbb{K}1_n$. Thus,

$$\tilde{q}(\mathfrak{o}_I(n)) = \begin{cases} (\mathfrak{o}_I(n) \cap \mathfrak{sl}(n)) \oplus \Pi(ZD(n)) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}, \\ (\mathfrak{o}_I(n) \cap \mathfrak{sl}(n))/\mathbb{K}1_n \oplus \Pi(ZD(n)) & \text{if } n \text{ is even}. \end{cases}$$

5.3.2. **$\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_\Pi(2k))$.** The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}_\Pi(2k)$ consists of matrices of the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ D & A^T \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{matrix} A \in \mathfrak{gl}(k), \\ C = C^T \text{ and } D = D^T. \end{matrix}$$

So the elements of $\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_\Pi(2k))$ are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ D & A^T \end{pmatrix} \oplus \Pi\left(\begin{pmatrix} A' & C' \\ D' & A'^T \end{pmatrix}\right) \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{matrix} A, A' \in \mathfrak{gl}(k), \\ C, C', D, D' \text{ are symmetric matrices.} \end{matrix}$$

Computations show that elements of $\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_\Pi(2k))^{(i)}$ have the following conditions on them for different i:

$$\begin{array}{ll} i=1: & A, A' \in \mathfrak{gl}(k), \quad C, C', D, D' \in ZD(k); \\ i=2: & A \in \mathfrak{gl}(k), \quad A' \in \mathfrak{sl}(k), \quad C, C', D, D' \in ZD(k); \\ i\geq 3: & A, A' \in \mathfrak{sl}(k), \quad C, C', D, D' \in ZD(k). \end{array}$$

Thus,

$$\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_\Pi(2k))_{\bar{0}}^{(\infty)} \simeq \Pi(\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{o}_\Pi(2k))_{\bar{1}}^{(\infty)}) = \mathfrak{o}_\Pi(2k)^{(2)} = \mathfrak{o}_\Pi(2k)^{(\infty)}.$$

Clearly, $\mathfrak{o}_\Pi(2k)^{(2)}$ has a non-trivial ideal if and only if k is even, and this ideal is $\mathbb{K}1_{2k}$, so

$$\tilde{q}(\mathfrak{o}_\Pi(2k)) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{o}_\Pi(2k)^{(2)} \oplus \Pi(\mathfrak{o}_\Pi(2k)^{(2)}) & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ (\mathfrak{o}_\Pi(2k)^{(2)} \oplus \Pi(\mathfrak{o}_\Pi(2k)^{(2)}))/(\mathbb{K}1_{2k} \oplus \mathbb{K}\Pi(1_{2k})) & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

6. Relation between Cartan prolongation and queerification

In what follows, we illustrate the queerification phenomenon in terms of Cartan prolongations for the simplest example. We show why $p=2$ is exceptional. For a detailed description of generalized Cartan prolongation and recipes how to realize elements of Lie (super)algebras by vector fields, see [Shch].

6.1. **Notation.** Denote $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{q}(n+1)$. Recall that we denote the elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ by pairs of matrices (A, B), where $A, B \in \mathfrak{gl}(n+1)$, see (23). Let us shorthand the element $(E_{ij}, 0) \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$, see (23), by means of the matrix unit E_{ij}, and $(0, E_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ by means of the same matrix unit but denoted X_{ij} and considered to be odd. Let 0 through n be labels of rows and columns of matrices $A, B \in \mathfrak{gl}(n+1)$. Consider the following $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathrm{Span}(E_{0i},\ X_{0i} \mid i=1,\dots,n), \quad \mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathrm{Span}(E_{i0},\ X_{i0} \mid i=1,\dots,n), \\ \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathrm{Span}(E_{00},\ X_{00},\ E_{ij},\ X_{ij} \mid i,j=1,\dots,n) \cong \mathfrak{q}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{q}(1), \text{ where} \\ \mathfrak{q}(n) = \mathrm{Span}(E_{ij},\ X_{ij} \mid i,j=1,\dots,n) \text{ and } \mathfrak{q}(1) = \mathrm{Span}(E_{00},\ X_{00}). \end{array} \tag{33}$$

6.2. **Homomorphism $\varphi : \mathfrak{q}(n+1) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{vect}(n|n)$.** We define φ by setting

$$\begin{array}{ll} E_{0i} \mapsto \partial_{z_i}, \quad X_{0i} \mapsto \partial_{\xi_i}; & \\ E_{ij} \mapsto z_i\partial_{z_j} + \xi_i\partial_{\xi_j}, & X_{ij} \mapsto z_i\partial_{\xi_j} + \xi_i\partial_{z_j} \qquad \text{for } i,j>0, \\ E_{00} \mapsto -\sum_i \left(z_i\partial_{z_i} + \xi_i\partial_{\xi_i}\right), & X_{00} \mapsto \sum_i \left(-z_i\partial_{\xi_i} + \xi_i\partial_{z_i}\right); \\ E_{i0} \longmapsto -z_i \sum_j \left(z_j\partial_{z_j} + \xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\right) - \xi_i \sum_j \left(z_j\partial_{\xi_j} - \xi_j\partial_{z_j}\right), & \\ X_{i0} \longmapsto z_i \sum_j \left(-z_j\partial_{\xi_j} + \xi_j\partial_{z_j}\right) - \xi_i \sum_j \left(z_j\partial_{z_j} + \xi_j\partial_{\xi_j}\right). & \end{array} \tag{34}$$

The homomorphism $\varphi : \mathfrak{q}(n+1) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{vect}(n|n)$ has kernel yielding an embedding

$$\varphi: \begin{array}{ll} \mathfrak{pq}(n+1) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{vect}(n|n) & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ \mathfrak{psq}(n+1) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{vect}(n|n) & \text{if } p = 2. \end{array}$$

For $p \neq 2$, we have $\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_0) \cong \mathfrak{q}(n) \oplus \mathbb{K} \cdot J$ and $\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$ is the tautological $\varphi(\mathfrak{q}(n))$-module, the element J being an odd operator commuting with $\varphi(\mathfrak{q}(n))$; for $p=2$, we have $\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_0) \cong \mathfrak{q}(n)$.

6.2.1. **Prolongs of the non-positive part of $\mathfrak{pq}(2)$ for $p \neq 2$.** In this particular case eqs. (34) take the form:

$$\begin{array}{llll} E_{01} & \mapsto \partial_z, & X_{01} & \mapsto \partial_\xi, \\ E_{00} & \mapsto -z\partial_z - \xi\partial_\xi, & X_{00} & \mapsto -z\partial_\xi + \xi\partial_z, \\ E_{11} & \mapsto z\partial_z + \xi\partial_\xi, & X_{11} & \mapsto z\partial_\xi + \xi\partial_z, \\ E_{10} & \mapsto -z^2\partial_z - 2z\xi\partial_\xi, & X_{10} & \mapsto -z^2\partial_\xi. \end{array} \tag{35}$$

Clearly, $X_{11}-X_{00} \mapsto 2z\partial_\xi$ and $X_{11}+X_{00} \mapsto 2\xi\partial_z$, so $\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_0) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(1|1)$, and hence $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \varphi(\mathfrak{g}_0))_* \simeq \mathfrak{svect}(1|1)$, the Lie superalgebra of divergence-free vector fields.

Denote the 0th component $\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ of the image of $\mathfrak{psq}(2)$ by $\mathfrak{h}$. Direct computations show:

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Span}\{z\partial_z + \xi\partial_\xi, z\partial_\xi\} \text{ and } (\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}), \mathfrak{h})_* = \mathrm{Span}\{f(z)\partial_z + f'(z)\xi\partial_\xi, \quad g(z)\partial_\xi\}.$$

Consider the generalized Cartan prolong (the result of prolongation) $\varphi(\mathfrak{q}(2))_*$ (i.e., the maximal subalgebra of $\mathfrak{vect}(1|1)$ whose (-1)-st, 0-th 1-st components coincide with the respective components of $\varphi(\mathfrak{q}(2))$. It is easy to see that this prolong coincides with the semi-direct sum

$$\varphi(\mathfrak{q}(2))_* = (\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{h}))_* \in \mathbb{K}\xi\partial_z.$$

An interpretation: the Cartan prolong $(\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}), \mathfrak{h})_*$ consists of the divergence-free vector fields preserving the subspace of $\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$ spanned by ∂_ξ. Indeed, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(1|1)$ reducibly acts on the component $\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$ preserving $\mathbb{K}\partial_\xi$.

6.2.2. **Prolong of the non-positive part of $\mathfrak{pq}(2)$ for $p = 2$.** For $p = 2$, all formulas of eq. (35) hold but the element $X_{11} - X_{00}$ belongs to the kernel of the homomorphism, and hence

$$\begin{array}{ll} [E_{10}, E_{01}] = E_{11} - E_{00} \mapsto 0, & [E_{10}, X_{01}] = X_{11} - X_{00} \mapsto 0, \\ [X_{10}, E_{01}] = X_{11} - X_{00} \mapsto 0, & [X_{10}, X_{01}] = X_{11} + X_{00} \mapsto 0. \end{array} \tag{36}$$

In other words, the images of E_{10} and X_{10} in $\mathfrak{svect}(1|1)$ must commute with every element of $\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$, hence these images should vanish. So the map φ has a big kernel and does not define embedding of any of the Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{q}(2)$, $\mathfrak{pq}(2)$, and $\mathfrak{psq}(2)$ in $\mathfrak{vect}(1|1)$.

6.3. **Prolong of the non-positive part of $\boldsymbol{\varphi(\mathfrak{pq}(n+1))}$ for $\boldsymbol{n+1 > 2}$.** Let us compute the Cartan prolongs of the non-positive part of $\varphi(\mathfrak{pq}(n+1))$, i.e., compute $(\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{q}(n) \oplus \mathbb{K} \cdot J)_*$, for $p \neq 2$ and $(\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{q}(n))_*$ for $p = 2$.

6.3.1. Theorem. *If $p = 2$, then $(\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{q}(n))_* = \mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{vect}(n; \underline{N}_s|0))$ whereas if $p \neq 2$, then $(\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{q}(n))_i = 0$ for $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{h} := (\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{q}(n))_*$ and $X = \sum(F_i(z,\xi)\partial_{z_i} + G_i(z,\xi)\partial_{\xi_i}) \in \mathfrak{h}$. Look at the image of the 0-th component in the Lie superalgebra of vector fields and single it out by means of a system of linear differential equations using operators ∂_{z_i} and $\widetilde{\partial_{\xi_i}} = \partial_{\xi_i} \cdot \mathrm{Pty}$, where $\mathrm{Pty}(x) = (-1)^{p(x)}x$ is the parity operator. The system of linear differential equations obtained (will) define the (generalized) Cartan prolongation, see [Shch]. The image of $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ is described by the second line of eqs. (34). It is easy to single it out by means of equations:

$$\partial_{z_j} F_i = -(-1)^{p(G_i)}\partial_{\xi_j} G_i; \quad \partial_{z_j} G_i = -(-1)^{p(F_i)}\partial_{\xi_j} F_i \text{ for any } i, j \in 1, \ldots, n. \tag{37}$$

We see that the indices i and j in equations (37) are not related with each other. Therefore it suffices to find all pairs of functions (F, G) satisfying the system of equations

$$\partial_{z_j} F = -(-1)^{p(G)}\partial_{\xi_j} G; \quad \partial_{z_j} G = -(-1)^{p(F)}\partial_{\xi_j} F \text{ for any } j \in 1, \ldots, n. \tag{38}$$

In these terms, the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is spanned by vector fields of the form $X = F\partial_{z_i} + G\partial_{\xi_i}$ and $Y = G\partial_{z_i} + F\partial_{\xi_i}$ for any $i = 1, \ldots n$.

Let the function F be a sum of monomials, one of which is $Az_i^k f$ (for $p=0$) or $Az_i^{(k)} f$ (for $p>0$), where f does not depend on z_i, and $A \in \mathbb{K}$. Because $\partial_{z_i} F = -(-1)^{p(G)} \partial_{\xi_i} G$, the monomial f should not depend on ξ_i, either, and the function G should contain a monomial $z_i^{k-1}\xi_i f$ (for $p=0$) or $z_i^{(k-1)}\xi_i f$ (for $p>0$) with, perhaps, different coefficient. But since all equations are symmetric with respect to the transposition $F \longleftrightarrow G$, the degree $k-1$ should be equal to 0. Thus, the degree of each of the functions F and G relative each even indeterminate should not exceed 1.

Let us explicitly describe the component $\mathfrak{h}_1$.

If the vector field $X \in \mathfrak{h}_1$ is homogeneous with respect to parity, then the functions F and G should be of opposite parity, and since the equations are symmetric with respect to the transposition $F \longleftrightarrow G$, let us assume, for definiteness sake, that

$$F \text{ is even and } G \text{ is odd.} \tag{39}$$

Therefore, these functions are of the form:

$$F = \sum_{i<j} (a_{ij} z_i z_j + b_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j), \quad G = \sum_{i \neq j} c_{ij} z_i \xi_j. \tag{40}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{z_i} F &= \sum_{j>i} a_{ij} z_j + \sum_{j<i} a_{ji} z_j, \quad \partial_{\xi_i} G = \sum_{i \neq j} c_{ji} z_j, \\ \partial_{\xi_i} F &= \sum_{j>i} b_{ij} \xi_j - \sum_{j<i} b_{ji} \xi_j, \quad \partial_{z_i} G = \sum_{i \neq j} c_{ij} \xi_j. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Now, eqs. (38) imply that

$$c_{ij} = -b_{ij} = a_{ij} \text{ and } c_{ji} = b_{ij} = a_{ij} \text{ for } i < j. \tag{42}$$

If $p \neq 2$, these conditions contradict each other, and hence

$$(\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{q}(n))_i = 0 \text{ for } i \geq 1. \tag{43}$$

If $p = 2$, eqs. (38) are free of contradictions, generate $\binom{n}{2}$ pairs of functions (F, G), and, accordingly, $\binom{n}{2}(n|n)$ vector fields of the form:

$$X_{\bar{0}} = (z_i z_j + \xi_i \xi_j)\partial_{z_k} + (z_i \xi_j + \xi_i z_j)\partial_{\xi_k}, \quad X_{\bar{1}} = (z_i z_j + \xi_i \xi_j)\partial_{\xi_k} + (z_i \xi_j + \xi_i z_j)\partial_{z_k}.$$

Let us describe now the general shape of the pairs of functions (F, G) satisfying (38) and defining the vector fields $X \in \mathfrak{h}$. Let the field X be homogeneous with respect to the parity and $\mathbb{Z}$-grading. Then the functions F and G are also homogeneous with respect to the parity and $\mathbb{Z}$-grading and their parities are opposite; recall (39).

Let F contain, as a summand, a monomial $\alpha \xi_i \xi_j \cdot f$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ and f is a monomial independent of ξ_i and ξ_j. By differentiating F with respect to ξ_i we see, by virtue of eqs. (38), that the function G contains the monomial $\alpha z_i \xi_j \cdot f$ as a summand. Differentiating now the function G with respect to ξ_j we see that F contains also the term $\alpha z_i z_j \cdot f$. Consequently applying this procedure several times we conclude that F must contain monomials depending on z only, and the sum of all these monomials completely determines the whole pair (F, G). Let us call this sum **the main part** of F and denote it F^m. For $F^m = z_1 z_2 \dots z_k$, the pair

(F, G) is of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} F = \ & z_1 z_2 \dots z_k + \sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2\leq k} \partial_{z_{i_1}}\partial_{z_{i_2}}(z_1 z_2 \dots z_k)\xi_{i_1}\xi_{i_2} + \\ & \sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2<i_3<i_4\leq k} \partial_{z_{i_1}}\partial_{z_{i_2}}\partial_{z_{i_3}}\partial_{z_{i_4}}(z_1 z_2 \dots z_k)\xi_{i_1}\xi_{i_2}\xi_{i_3}\xi_{i_4} + \dots . \\ G = \ & \sum_i \partial_{z_i}(z_1 z_2 \dots z_k)\xi_i + \sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2<i_3\leq k} \partial_{z_{i_1}}\partial_{z_{i_2}}\partial_{z_{i_3}}(z_1 z_2 \dots z_k)\xi_{i_1}\xi_{i_2}\xi_{i_3} + \dots . \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Let

$$X = \sum_i (F_i(z,\xi)\partial_{z_i} + G_i(z,\xi)\partial_{\xi_i}) \longmapsto X^m = \sum_i F_i^m \partial_{z_i} \tag{45}$$

be the passage to the **main part** X^m of a given even vector X. Clearly, X^m depends only on z, and if X, Y are even fields, then

$$[X^m, Y^m] = [X, Y]^m.$$

This means that $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}} \simeq \mathfrak{vect}(n; \underline{N}_s|0)$. This isomorphism is given by the map (45).

If the field X is odd, then the field X, and its main part, are, due to our assumption (39), of the form

$$X = \sum_i (G_i(z,\xi)\partial_{z_i} + F_i(z,\xi)\partial_{\xi_i}) \longmapsto X^m = \sum_i F_i^m(z)\partial_{\xi_i}. \tag{46}$$

If now Y is an even field, then

$$[Y^m, X^m] = [Y, X]^m,$$

i.e., as $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}$-module $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$ is the adjoint one. Further study shows that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{vect}(n; \underline{N}_s|0))$, as is easy to verify. □

6.3.2. Theorem. *If $p \neq 2$ and $n > 1$, then $\mathfrak{h} := (\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}), \varphi(\mathfrak{g}_0))_* = (\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{q}(n) \oplus \mathbb{K}\cdot J)_* = \varphi(\mathfrak{q}(n+1))$.*

Proof. As we repeatedly demonstrated describing the exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras, see [Sh5, Sh14], having added one odd central element to the 0-th component (this is precisely what happens under the homomorphism φ) we can add either the $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module $\Pi(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*)$ or nothing to $\mathfrak{h}_1$. Since $(\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{q}(n))_1 = 0$ by Theorem 6.3.1, we see that $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \varphi(\mathfrak{g}_1)$, where $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is defined in eq. (33).

Let us describe the component $\mathfrak{h}_2$. Once again, let us write the system of differential equations on coordinates of the vector field $X = \sum(F_i\partial_{z_i} + G_i\partial_{\xi_i}) \in \mathfrak{h}$. Because the difference from the case of Theorem 6.3.1 consists of one basis element in the 0-th component only, these equations do not differ much from eqs. (37). More precisely, the first group of eqs. (37) remains the same; the second group is the same for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and all $j \neq i$, whereas n equations of the second groups for $j = i$ turn into the following $n-1$ equations:

$$\partial_{z_i} G_i + (-1)^{p(F_i)}\partial_{\xi_i} F_i = \partial_{z_1} G_1 + (-1)^{p(F_1)}\partial_{\xi_1} F_1, \text{ where } i = 2, \dots, n.$$

Let now $X \in \mathfrak{h}_2$ be even. Then the degrees of all (even) functions F_i and all (odd) functions G_i are equal to 3, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & F_i = f_i(z) + \textstyle\sum_{j,k,l} c_i^{jkl} z_j \xi_k \xi_l, \text{ where } c_i^{jkl} \in \mathbb{K} \text{ and } \deg(f_i(z)) = 3, \\ & G_i = \textstyle\sum_j g_i^j(z)\xi_j + \sum_{j,k,l} d_i^{jkl} \xi_j \xi_k \xi_l, \text{ where } d_i^{jkl} \in \mathbb{K} \text{ and } \deg(g_i^j(z)) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Here all equations for the F_i and G_i, their parities being taken into account:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \partial_{z_j} F_i = \partial_{\xi_j} G_i & \text{for any } i,j = 1,\dots n \\ \partial_{z_j} G_i = -\partial_{\xi_j} F_i & \text{for any } i = 1,\dots n, j \neq i; \\ \partial_{z_i} G_i + \partial_{\xi_j} F_i = \partial_{z_1} G_1 + \partial_{\xi_1} F_1 & \text{for any } i = 2,\dots n. \end{array} \tag{47}$$

The first two lines of eqs. (47) imply:

$$\partial_{\xi_j}\partial_{\xi_k}(G_i) = \partial_{\xi_j}\partial_{z_k}(F_i) = \partial_{z_k}\partial_{\xi_j}(F_i) = -\partial_{z_k}\partial_{z_j}(G_i) \text{ for } j,k \neq i.$$

In particular, for $j = k \neq i$, we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 G_i}{\partial z_j^2} = 0 \text{ for all } j \neq i.$$

Analogously, $\partial_{\xi_k}\partial_{\xi_j}(G_i) = -\partial_{z_j}\partial_{z_k}(G_i)$, and because partial derivatives with respect to the even variables commute, whereas those with respect to the odd ones anti-commute, we get:

$$\frac{\partial^2 G_i}{\partial z_j \partial z_k} = \frac{\partial^2 G_i}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_k} = 0 \text{ for all } j,k \neq i, \tag{48}$$

implying that $d_i^{jkl} = 0$, and all functions g_i^j are linear combinations of monomials $z_i^{(2)}$ and $z_i z_k$, where $k = 1,\dots n$, and $k \neq i$.

We similarly deduce that for $j,k \neq i$, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial z_j^2} = \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial z_j \partial z_k} = \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_k} = 0, \tag{49}$$

implying that among the coefficients c_i^{jkl} only those of the form c_i^{jil} can be non-zero, and the function f_i is a linear combination of the monomials $z_i^{(3)}$ and $z_i^{(2)} z_j$.

Finally, eqs. (49) for $j,k \neq i$ imply that

$$\frac{\partial^2 G_i}{\partial z_j \partial \xi_k} = \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial z_j \partial z_k} = 0.$$

Therefore the functions G_i are of the form

$$G_i = z_i^{(2)} \sum_{`\leq j \leq n} a_i^j \xi_j + z_i \xi_i \sum_{j \neq i} b_i^j z_j. \tag{50}$$

Similarly, eq. (48) for $j,k \neq i$ implies that

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial \xi_j \partial z_k} = \frac{\partial^2 G_i}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_k} = 0.$$

Besides,

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial \xi_i \partial z_i} = \frac{\partial^2 G_i}{\partial \xi_i^2} = 0.$$

These equalities imply that $c_i^{jkl} = 0$ for any i,j,k, and the function F_i is of the form

$$F_i = \alpha_i z_i^{(3)} + z_i^{(2)} \sum_{j \neq i} \beta_i^j z_j.$$

Now, from (47) for $j \neq i$ we deduce that $a_i^j = \beta_i^j$, whereas for $j = i$ we have $\beta_i^k = b_i^k$ and $\alpha_i = a_i^i$. Because $\partial_{\xi_j} F_i = 0$ for all j, the equality (47) implies $b_j^i = 0$ for all i,j. As a result, $F_i = \alpha_i z_i^{(3)}$, $G_i = \alpha_i z_i^{(2)} \xi_i$ and

$$\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial_{\xi_i}} + \frac{\partial G_i}{\partial_{z_i}} = \alpha_i z_i \xi_i.$$

But since this sum should not, thanks to the third line of eqs. (47), depend on i, we conclude that $\alpha_i = 0$ for any i, and hence $X = 0$.

The case of any odd vector field X is similarly considered, so $\mathfrak{h}_i = 0$ for any $i \geq 2$. □

6.4. **Queerification and Cartan prolongation are commuting operations.** Let $p = 2$, $\mathfrak{g}_0$ a Lie algebra with a 2-structure, $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ a restricted $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module, and $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_{*,\underline{N}_s}$ the Cartan prolong.

Now, consider:

$\mathfrak{qg} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi(\mathfrak{g})$, the queerification of the prolong,

$\mathfrak{qg}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \Pi(\mathfrak{g}_0)$, the queerification of the 0-th component of the prolong,

$\mathfrak{qg}_{-1} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \Pi(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$, the queerification of the -1-st component of the prolong,

the prolong $\mathfrak{gq} = (\mathfrak{qg}_0, \mathfrak{qg}_{-1})_{*,\underline{N}} = \oplus \mathfrak{gq}_k$ of the queerifications.

6.4.1. Theorem. *Lie superalgebras* $\mathfrak{qg}$ *and* $\mathfrak{gq}$ *coincide:* $\mathfrak{qg} = \mathfrak{gq}$.

Proof. First of all, notice that if $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = n$, then $\mathfrak{qg}_0 \subset \mathfrak{q}(n)$. Thus, due to Theorem 6.3.1, $\mathfrak{gq} \subset (\mathrm{id}, \mathfrak{q}(n))_* = \mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{vect}(n; \underline{N}_s|0))$, and hence all coordinates of the shearing vector for $\mathfrak{gq}$ are critical (recall, see [BGLLS1], that the coordinates of the shearing vector that can not exceed a certain value are said to be *critical*):

$$\underline{N} = \underline{N}_s. \tag{51}$$

Observe that the non-positive parts of the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{qg}$ and $\mathfrak{gq}$ coincide by their definitions. Because the Cartan prolongation with a fixed $\underline{N}$, again by definition, is the maximal transitive Lie (super)algebra with the given non-positive part and this $\underline{N}$, we get an embedding $\mathfrak{qg} \subset \mathfrak{gq}$.

On the other hand, the map $X \mapsto X^m$ constructed in (45), (46) determines the embedding $\mathfrak{gq} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{vect}(n; \underline{N}_s|0))$. If X^m is even, then $X^m \in \mathfrak{g}$ by definition of the Cartan prolong and equality of the shearing vectors for $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{gq}$. If X^m is odd, then $X^m \in \Pi(\mathfrak{g})$ due to the commutation relations in $\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{vect}(n; \underline{N}_s|0))$. Hence, $\mathfrak{gq}$ is embedded into $\mathfrak{qg}$ and $\mathfrak{qg} = \mathfrak{gq}$. □

7. Examples of simple Lie superalgebras obtained by "Method 2"

In [LeP], there are given examples of superization of simple vectorial Lie algebras by what we call here "Method 2" for the cases where $\underline{N}$ has coordinates equal to 1. In this case, one can consider the corresponding indeterminates odd. In this way we get $\mathfrak{h}_\Pi(a; \underline{\tilde{N}}|b)$ from $\mathfrak{h}_\Pi(a+b; \underline{N})$ (resp. $\mathfrak{le}(a; \underline{\tilde{N}})$ from $\mathfrak{h}_\Pi(2a; \underline{N})$), where $\underline{\tilde{N}}$ is the part of $\underline{N}$ corresponding to the even indeterminates. The same concerns other series and exceptional examples, see [BGLLS1, BGLLS2]. These superizations are expected, to an extent. Numerous examples of simple Lie superalgebras given below are totally new (except for "occasional isomorphisms" that might occur for a small number of indeterminates): these Lie superalgebras are $\mathbb{Z}$-graded and this grading is compatible with $\mathbb{Z}/2$-grading whereas over $\mathbb{C}$ such simple Lie superalgebras are only the following ones: the series $\mathfrak{k}(1|m)$, and exceptions $\mathfrak{kas}$, $\mathfrak{vle}(4|3; K) = \mathfrak{vle}(3|6)$, $\mathfrak{ksle}(9|6; K) = \mathfrak{ksle}(5|10)$ and $\mathfrak{mb}(4|5; K) = \mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$, see [LSh, Sh14].

In the cases below, we have to add squares of the elements of degree -1 (and -3, if there are any; the only simple infinite-dimensional vectorial Lie algebras with Weisfeiler gradings known to us for $p = 2$ are of depth at most 3) but not of positive degrees, see Prop. 7.2.

Elsewhere we intend to apply "method 2", first consciously used in [BGLLS], to the deforms of "standard" vectorial Lie algebras, in particular Eick algebras, see [Ei].

7.1. **"Method 2" applied to simple vectorial Lie algebras for which all coordinates of $\underline{N}$ corresponding to the odd indeterminates are > 1.** We introduce $\mathbb{Z}/2$-gradings as the $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings modulo 2.

7.1.1. **The simple vectorial Lie algebras in the standard $\mathbb{Z}$-grading st.** Recall that in the standard $\mathbb{Z}$-grading st the degree of each indeterminate is equal to 1, except for the contact case, where the degree of "time" is equal to 2.

In certain cases, we have to take not the Cartan prolongs listed in the titles of subsections below but their derived since we superize the **simple** Lie algebras; but since the simple Lie superalgebra $S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{gr})$, see 3.3.1, is completely determined by the non-positive part which for $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$ coincides with that of $\mathfrak{g}$, we do not indicate such subtleties, except for the next example.

7.1.1a. $\mathfrak{vect}^{(1)}(1; N)$**.** We have to add the square of the basis element of degree -1; the simple Lie superalgebra $S(\mathfrak{vect}^{(1)}(1; N), \mathrm{st})$ is, clearly, isomorphic to $\mathfrak{k}^{(1)}(1; N-1|1)$.

7.1.1b. $\mathfrak{vect}(n; N)$ **for $n > 1$, and $\mathfrak{svect}(n; N)$ for $n > 2$; various Hamiltonian series, and filtered deforms thereof.** The squares of the basis elements of degree -1 span the trivial $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^{[2]}$; this is the -2nd component. Clearly, $\dim S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{gr})_{-2} = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$.

7.1.1c. $\mathfrak{k}(2n+1; N)$ **for $n > 0$ and filtered deforms thereof.** Having added the trivial $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^{[2]}$ we get $S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{gr})_{-2} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^{[2]} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$.

7.1.1d. $\mathfrak{ir}(9; \underline{N})$ **and** $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{vle}(3; \underline{N}|6))$ **defined in** [BGLLS1]**.** Having added the trivial $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^{[2]}$ we get $S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{gr})_{-2} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^{[2]} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$.

7.1.1e. $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{ksle}(5; \underline{N}|10))$ **and** $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{mb}(3; \underline{N}|8))$ **defined in** [BGLLS2]**.** These Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ have -3rd components, so their superizations are of depth 6. It is easy to see that if $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the restricted closure of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $[\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}] \subset [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. In particular, $[\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Therefore, $S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{st})_{-4} = S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{st})_{-5} = 0$ since $\mathfrak{g}$ is of depth 3.

7.1.2. **The simple vectorial Lie algebras in the non-standard $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings.** In addition to the "standard" gradings there are finitely many (classes of) *non-standard* $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings associated with maximal subalgebras (of finite codimension if the algebra is infinite-dimensional) and the corresponding *Weisfeiler* filtration. Generally, these gradings are given by setting $\deg x_i = 0$ for $r = 0, 1, \dots n-1$ of the n indeterminates. The desuperized simple vectorial Lie superalgebras $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{G})$ over $\mathbb{K}$ have more (types of) non-standard Weisfeiler gradings than there are non-standard Weisfeiler gradings of their infinite-dimensional namesakes $\mathfrak{G}$ over $\mathbb{C}$: compare [Sh14, LSh] with [BGLLS2].

7.2. Proposition. *The Lie superalgebra $S(\mathfrak{g}, gr)$ is completely determined by its non-positive part.*

In other words, it can not happen that $S(\mathfrak{g}, gr)$ is a *partial* prolong, see [Shch], whereas the complete prolong of the non-positive part of $S(\mathfrak{g}, gr)$ strictly contains it.

Proof. We know that certain (called *critical*) coordinates of the shearing vector might have "in-built" limitations; but if the coordinate can be > 1 it can be however big, except $\mathfrak{vle}$, for which one of the coordinates of the shearing vector can not exceed 2, see [BGLLS2].

Let the depth of $\mathfrak{g}$ be equal to 1. Let $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*$ be the infinite-dimensional Cartan prolong corresponding to the shearing vector $\underline{N}$ without any constraints imposed on its coordinates, so all non-critical coordinates of $\underline{N}$ are equal to ∞. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is the maximal transitive $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie algebra with the given non-positive part. In particular, the maximality implies that the positive part is a restricted Lie algebra. So to cook $S(\mathfrak{g}, gr)$, it suffices to add squares of the basis elements of degree -1 to $\mathfrak{g}$.

Take the new non-positive part $\mathfrak{G} := \bigoplus_{-2\leq k\leq 0} \mathfrak{G}_k$ with $\mathfrak{G}_{-1} := \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ considered odd, $\mathfrak{G}_0 := \mathfrak{g}_0$, and $\mathfrak{G}_{-2} := \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^{[2]}$ added; let $\mathfrak{G} := \bigoplus_{-2\leq k} \mathfrak{G}_k$ be the Cartan prolong of the new non-positive part. If we desuperize $\mathfrak{G}$, then in the Lie algebra $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{G})$ obtained, the -1st component is commutative, and $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{G})_{-2}$ is a trivial $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{G})_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0$-module. This means that $\mathfrak{i} := \bigoplus_{-1\leq k} \mathfrak{G}_k$ is an ideal in $\mathbf{F}(\mathfrak{G})$ with the non-positive part equal to $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0$. But $\mathfrak{g}$ is the maximal Lie algebra with such non-positive part.

The arguments for depth > 1 are similar. □

For example, Method 2 applied to $\mathfrak{vect}(n) = \mathrm{Span}(f_i(u)\partial_{u_i})_{i=1}^n$, where $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$), in its standard $\mathbb{Z}$-grading yields $\mathfrak{G}_{-2} = \mathrm{Span}(\partial_{x_i})_{i=1}^n$, $\mathfrak{G}_{-1} = \mathrm{Span}(D_i = \partial_{\xi_i} + \xi_i\partial_{x_i})_{i=1}^n$, and the non-negative part of $\mathfrak{G}$ is $\mathrm{Span}(f_i(x,\xi)D_i)_{i=1}^n$. The 1-1 correspondence between $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{i}$ is as follows:

$$\partial_{u_i} \longleftrightarrow D_i, \quad u_i^{(2k)} \longleftrightarrow x_i^{(k)}, \quad u_i^{(2k+1)} \longleftrightarrow x_i^{(k)}\xi_i.$$

8. Restricted exceptional Lie (super)algebras for $p = 3$

8.1. **Deforms of $\mathfrak{o}(5)$ for $p = 3$, see** [BLW]**.** Consider the parametric family of Brown algebras $\mathfrak{br}(2;\varepsilon)$ with Cartan matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -2 & 1-\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \varepsilon \neq 0.$$

Note that $\mathfrak{br}(2;-1) \simeq \mathfrak{o}(5) \simeq \mathfrak{sp}(4)$. Other isomorphisms are

$$\mathfrak{br}(2;\varepsilon) \simeq \mathfrak{br}(2;\varepsilon') \text{ if and only if } \varepsilon\varepsilon' = 1 \quad (\text{for } \varepsilon \neq \varepsilon'). \tag{52}$$

Let $\mathbb{T}(a,b,c)$, where $a,b,c \in \mathbb{K}$ and $a \neq bc$, be an irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$-module, explicitly described in [GL3]. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathbb{T}(a,b,c)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{gl}(2)$; let the unit $z \in \mathfrak{gl}(2)$ act as the grading operator: $z|_{\mathfrak{g}_i} = i \cdot \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}_i}$, and let $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*$ as $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module. Set $L(a,b,c) := \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$. In [BLW] a realization of $L(a,b,c)$ by contact vector fields is given. There, different notation, $L(\varepsilon,\delta,\rho)$, is used[3] which includes the 1-parameter family $\mathfrak{br}(2;\varepsilon) \simeq L(\varepsilon,0,0)$. In [BLW], it is proved that of the whole 3-parameter family $L(\varepsilon,\delta,\rho)$ only the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{br}(2;\varepsilon)$, minding (52), and $L(-1,-1,0)$ constitute the isomorphism classes.

8.1.1. Proposition. 1) *Let the x_i, y_i, and h_1, h_2 be the Chevalley basis of $L(\varepsilon,\delta,\rho)$, see* [BLW]*. The 3-structure on $L(\varepsilon,\delta,\rho)$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} &h_1^{[3]} = h_1, \quad h_2^{[3]} = \varepsilon^2 h_2, \\ &y_1^{[3]} = 0, \quad y_2^{[3]} = \delta(1+2\varepsilon^2)h_1 + \tfrac{\delta}{\varepsilon}(1+2\varepsilon^2)h_2, \quad y_3^{[3]} = \tfrac{\rho}{\varepsilon}h_2, \quad y_4^{[3]} = \varepsilon\delta\rho(2+\varepsilon^2)y_1 \\ &x_1^{[3]} = x_2^{[3]} = x_3^{[3]} = x_4^{[3]} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

In particular, for $L(-1,-1,0)$, we have:

$$h_2^{[3]} = h_2, \quad y_1^{[3]} = y_2^{[3]} = y_3^{[3]} = y_4^{[3]} = 0. \tag{54}$$

2) *Let the X_i, Y_i, and H_1, H_2 be the Chevalley basis of $\mathfrak{br}(2;\varepsilon)$. The 3-structure on $\mathfrak{br}(2;\varepsilon)$ is given by the expressions:*

$$X_i^{[3]} = Y_i^{[3]} = 0, \quad H_1^{[3]} = H_1, \quad H_2^{[3]} = (2+\varepsilon^2)H_1 + \varepsilon^2 H_2. \tag{55}$$

[3]Nobody bothered to explicitly express $(\varepsilon,\delta,\rho)$ in terms of (a,b,c) or the other way round, because the correspondence is one-to-one while the precise expressions were not needed.

Proof of Propositions 8.1.1 is obtained with the help of Grozman's code *SuperLie*, see [Gr].

Plugging $\delta = \rho = 0$ into (53) does not completely coincide with (55), because although $L(\varepsilon, 0, 0) \simeq \mathfrak{br}(2; \varepsilon)$, their bases having "same names" do not coincide: the H_i for $\mathfrak{br}(2; \varepsilon)$ are linear combinations of the h_i for $L(\varepsilon, 0, 0)$, not the h_i themselves.

8.2. **Exceptional simple vectorial Lie (super) algebras for $p = 3$.** In addition to the serial simple vectorial Lie algebras for $p > 5$, there appear, for $p = 3$, several exceptional simple vectorial Lie algebras discovered by Skryabin, Frank, and Ermalaev; for a lucid and precise description of all of them, listed in (56), see [GL3]; for definition of several exceptional simple vectorial Lie superalgebras, listed in (56), see [BL, BGL1]. Certain coordinates of some of the shearing vectors of these exceptional simple Lie (super)algebras are constrained, but being interested only in establishing (non-)restrictedness we set $\underline{N} = \underline{N}_s$, so these details are irrelevant for us and we skip $\underline{N}$. As an illustration of Prop. 2.6.1 heading 2) we see that *each of the following simple Lie (super)algebras considered for* $\underline{N} = \underline{N}_s$ *has* 3*-structure (resp.* 3|6*-structure) given by eq.* (21):

(56)

Lie algebras	$\mathfrak{d\mathfrak{y}}^{(1)}(10)$, $\mathfrak{by}^{(1)}(7)$, $\mathfrak{sby}^{(1)}(7)$, $\mathfrak{my}(6)$, $\mathfrak{sm\mathfrak{y}}^{(1)}(6)$, $\mathfrak{er}^{(1)}(3)$, $\mathfrak{fr}(3)$
Lie superalgebras	$\mathfrak{brj}^{(1)}(3\|4)$, $\mathfrak{bj}^{(2)}(3\|5)$, $\mathfrak{bj}^{(1)}(4\|5)$, $\mathfrak{me}(3\|3)$, $\mathfrak{bj}(3\|3)$

References

[BGP] Benkart G., Gregory Th., Premet A., *The recognition theorem for graded Lie algebras in prime characteristic*. American Mathematical Society, 2009, 145 pp.

[BGLL] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Lebedev A., Leites D., Divided power (co)homology. Presentations of simple finite dimensional modular Lie superalgebras with Cartan matrix. Homology, Homotopy and Applications, v. 12 (2010), no. 1, 237–278; `arXiv:0911.0243`

[BGLL1] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Lebedev A., Leites D., Derivations and central extensions of simple modular Lie algebras and superalgebras; `arXiv:??`[DL:]

[BGLLS] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Lebedev A., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Deforms of Lie algebras in characteristic 2: Semi-trivial for Jurman algebras, non-trivial for Kaplansky algebras. `arXiv:1301.2781`

[BGLLS1] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Lebedev A., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Simple prolongs of non-positive parts of graded Lie algebras with Cartan matrix in characteristic 2. `arXiv:1307.1551`

[BGLLS2] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Lebedev A., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Simple vectorial Lie algebras in characteristic 2 and their superizations; `arXiv:??`[DL:]

[BGL1] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Leites D., New simple modular Lie superalgebras as generalized Cartan prolongations. Func. Anal. Appl., v. 42, (2008), No. 3, 161–168); `arXiv:math.RT/0704.0130`

[BGL2] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Leites D., Classification of finite dimensional modular Lie superalgebras with indecomposable Cartan matrix. Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications (SIGMA), v. 5 (2009), 060, 63 pages; `arXiv:math.RT/0710.5149`

[BGL3] Bouarroudj S., Grozman P., Leites D., Deforms of symmetric simple modular Lie superalgebras; `arXiv:0807.3054`

[BLW] Bouarroudj S., Lebedev A., Wagemann F., Deformations of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(5)$ in characteristics 3 and 2. Mathem. Notes, v. 89:6, (2011), 777–791; `arXiv:0909.3572`

[BL] Bouarroudj S., Leites D., Simple Lie superalgebras and non-integrable distributions in characteristic p. Zapiski nauchnyh seminarov POMI, v. 331 (2006), 15–29; Reprinted in J. Math. Sci. (NY) , v. 141 (2007) no.4, 1390–98; `arXiv:math.RT/0606682`

[CCLL] Chapovalov D., Chapovalov M., Lebedev A., Leites D., The classification of almost affine (hyperbolic) Lie superalgebras // 2010, v. 17, Special issue in memory of F. Berezin, 103–161; `arXiv:0906.1860`

[Ch] Chebochko, N. G., Deformations of classical Lie algebras with a homogeneous root system in characteristic two. I. Sb. Math., v. 196 (2005), no. 9-10, 1371–1402

[DK] Dzhumadildaev A., Kostrikin A.I. Deformations of Lie algebra $W_1(m)$. Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, v. 148, (1980), 143–158

[FG] Frohardt D., Griess R.L. Jr., Automorphisms of modular Lie algebras, Nova J. Algebra and Geometry, v. 1, (1992), no.4, 339–345

[FH] Fulton, W., Harris, J., *Representation theory. A first course*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, v. 129. Readings in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. xvi+551 pp.

[Gr] Grozman P., **SuperLie**, `http://www.equaonline.com/math/SuperLie`

[GL3] Grozman P., Leites D., Structures of $G(2)$ type and nonholonomic distributions in characteristic p; `arXiv:math.RT/0509400`

[GLS] Grozman P., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., Invariant differential operators on supermanifolds and The Standard Model. In: Olshanetsky M., Vainshtein A., (eds.) *M. Marinov memorial volume*, World Sci., 2002, 508–555. `arXiv:math.RT/0202193`

[Ei] Eick, B., Some new simple Lie algebras in characteristic 2. J. Symb. Comput. 45 (2010), N9, 943–951

[ILL] Iyer U., Lebedev A., Leites D., Prolongs of orthogonal Lie (super)algebras in characteristic 2. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys., v. 17, Special issue in memory of F. Berezin, (2010), 253–309

[Kos] Kostrikin, A. I., The beginnings of modular Lie algebra theory. In: *Group Theory, Algebra, and Number Theory (Saarbrücken, 1993)*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, 13–52

[KD] Kostrikin, A. I., Dzhumadildaev A. S., Modular Lie algebras: new trends. In: Yu. Bahturin (ed.), Algebra. Proc. of the International Algebraic Conference on the Occasion of the 90th Birthday of A.G. Kurosh. de Gruyter, 2000, 181–203

[KS] Kostrikin, A. I., Shafarevich, I.R., Graded Lie algebras of finite characteristic, Math. USSR Izv., v. 3 (1969) 237–304

[KCh] Kuznetsov, M. I.; Chebochko, N. G., Deformations of classical Lie algebras. (Russian) Mat. Sb., v. 191 (2000), no. 8, 69–88; translation in Sb. Math., v. 191 (2000), no. 7-8, 1171–1190

[KuJa] Kuznetsov, M. I.; Jakovlev V.A., On exceptional simple Lie algebras of series R. 3rd Intn. conference on algebra, Krasnoyarsk, 1993, Theses of reports, 411

[LeD] Lebedev A., Simple modular Lie superalgebras. Ph.D. thesis. Leipzig University, July, 2008.

[LeP] Lebedev A., Analogs of the orthogonal, Hamiltonian, Poisson, and contact Lie superalgebras in characteristic 2. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys., v. 17, Special issue in memory of F. Berezin, (2010), 217–251

[LL] Lebedev A., Leites D. (with an appendix by Deligne P.) On realizations of the Steenrod algebras. J. Prime Research in Mathematics, v. 2, no. 1 (2006), 1–13; (MPIMiS preprint 131/2006, `http://www.mis.mpg.de`)

[LSh] Leites D., Shchepochkina I., The classification of simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields. Preprint MPIM-2003-28 (`http://www.mpim-bonn.mpg.de`)

[MeZu] Melikyan H., Zusmanovich P., Melikyan algebra is a deformation of a Poisson algebra; `arXiv:1401.2566`

[Prem] Premet A., Algebraic groups associated with Cartan Lie p-algebras. Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik, v. 50:1, (1985), 85–97

[Sh5] Shchepochkina I.M., *Five simple exceptional Lie superalgebras of vector fields*. Funct. Anal. Appl., v. 33 (1999), no. 3, 208–219 (2000)

[Sh14] Shchepochkina I., *The five exceptional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields and their fourteen regradings*. Represent. Theory, v. 3 (1999), 373–415

[Shch] Shchepochkina I., How to realize Lie algebras by vector fields. Theor. Mat. Fiz., v. 147 (2006) no. 3, 821–838; `arXiv:math.RT/0509472`

[Sk] Skryabin S.M., Classification of Hamiltonian forms over divided power algebras. Math. of the USSR-Sbornik, v. 69:1, (1991), 121–141

[St] Steinberg, R., *Lectures on Chevalley groups*. Notes prepared by J. Faulkner and R. Wilson. Yale University, New Haven, Conn., 1968. iii+277 pp.

[S] Strade, H., *Simple Lie algebras over fields of positive characteristic. I–III. Structure theory*. de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, v. 38. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, (2004) viii+540 pp; (2009) vi+385pp; (2012) x+239pp

[WK] Weisfeiler B., Kac V., Exponentials in Lie algebras of characteristic p. Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya, v. 5:4, (1971), 777–803

[1]New York University Abu Dhabi, Division of Science and Mathematics, P.O. Box 129188, United Arab Emirates; sofiane.bouarroudj@nyu.edu, [2]Equa Simulation AB, Stockholm, Sweden; alexeylalexeyl@mail.ru, [3]Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, Roslagsv. 101, Kräftriket hus 6, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden; mleites@math.su.se, [4]Independent University of Moscow, Bolshoj Vlasievsky per, dom 11, RU-119 002 Moscow, Russia; irina@mccme.ru